

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

<b>PAUL HOPPER, d/b/a HOPPER</b>	§	
<b>SEAFOOD, d/b/a GRAND BATURE</b>	§	
<b>SEAFOOD, Individually and on behalf</b>	§	
<b>of all others similarly situated</b>	§	<b>PLAINTIFFS</b>
	§	
<b>v.</b>	§	<b>Civil No. 1:10cv173-HSO-JMR</b>
	§	
<b>CAMERON INTERNATIONAL</b>	§	
<b>CORPORATION f/k/a COOPER</b>	§	
<b>CAMERON CORPORATION, et al..</b>	§	<b>DEFENDANTS</b>

**ORDER GRANTING CERTAIN DEFENDANTS'**  
**MOTION FOR STAY OF PROCEEDINGS**

BEFORE THE COURT is a Motion for Stay of Proceedings pending a decision by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation ["JPML"] on whether to transfer this matter to multidistrict litigation ["MDL"]. The instant Motion was filed by Defendants BP America, Inc., and BP Products North America, Inc. ["BP Defendants"]. After consideration of the Motion, the related pleadings, the record in this case, and the relevant legal authorities, and for the reasons discussed below, the Court finds that the Motion should be granted.

According to BP Defendants, this case is one of at least seventy (70) related cases filed in various state and federal courts in the southeastern United States arising out of an explosion and fire onboard Transocean's Deepwater Horizon drilling rig on April 20, 2010, and the ensuing oil spill. See Mot. to Stay, at p. 2. BP Defendants seek a stay of this case, pending a decision by the JPML concerning a Motion to Transfer which BP Exploration & Production Inc. ["BXP"], filed on May 7, 2010, in *In re: Deepwater Horizon Incident Litig.*, MDL No. 2179. See *id.*

The power of this Court to "stay proceedings is incidental to the power inherent in every court to control the disposition of the causes on its docket with economy of time and effort for itself, for counsel, and for the litigants." *Landis v. North American Co.*, 299 U.S. 248, 254 (1936). The Court finds that Plaintiffs will not be unduly prejudiced if these proceedings are stayed pending a decision by the JPML on a possible transfer. The Court further finds that a stay of these proceedings will promote judicial economy. Therefore, the Court will exercise its discretion and stay all proceedings in this case, pending a decision by the JPML on BPXP's Motion to Transfer.

**IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** that, for the reasons more fully stated herein, all proceedings in this case are hereby stayed pending a decision by the JPML on whether this case will be transferred to MDL.

**IT IS, FURTHER, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** that, in light of the stay, any other pending Motions are hereby **DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**, with leave to reassert upon lifting of the stay.

**SO ORDERED AND ADJUDGED**, this the 27th day of May, 2010.

*s/ Halil Suleyman Ozerden*  
HALIL SULEYMAN OZERDEN  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE